

USMW IRWM Project Evaluation Worksheet

Project # and Title: 1. Upper VDC Recharge/Recovery Facility Conjunctive Use Optimization
Project Sponsor: Rancho California Water District
Evaluation Date: May 29, 2013

Recommendation:

Include in IRWM Plan

Include in list of conceptual projects

Do not include project in either the IRWM Plan or conceptual project list

#	Criteria	Project Nomination Form Location	Acceptance as a Conceptual Project	Acceptance as an IRWM Plan Project	Prioritization Scoring	Comments
1.	General project information provided is sufficient to understand the project.	Section 1 (all questions required, if applicable)	Is the general project information provided sufficient to understand the project? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No		Not applicable	
2.	Potential project sponsor information has been provided.	Section 2 (all questions required)	Has project partner information been provided? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No		Not applicable	
3.	Project partners' and required information has been provided.	Section 3 (all questions required)	Has project sponsor information been provided (if applicable)? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes (or not applicable) <input type="checkbox"/> No		Not applicable	
4.	The project is relevant to the Region.	Section 4	Not required	Do the project's goals and objectives align with the Region's goals and objectives? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Under Development	
5.	The project is integrated and/or regional	Section 6	Not required	Have the integration elements of the project been described? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Under Development	Integration elements not described, but project will provide multiple benefits to multiple communities.
6.	The project is cost efficient	Section 8, Question (a) Section 5	Not required	Has an economic analysis been performed showing the project is cost efficient, or do the project's costs appear reasonable compared to the project's benefits? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Under Development	An economic analysis shows that the project costs will be less than the cost of MWD treated water costs.
7.	Project contribution to sustainability	Section 4 (Goal 6) Section 7	Not required	Will the project promote economic, social, land use and/or environmental sustainability? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Under Development	Project will contribute to environmental sustainability through increased energy efficiency.
8.	Project costs and financing identified	Section 8	Not required	Have the sources of potential project funding or financing been identified? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Not applicable	RCWD Bond financing and grant funding will be utilized.

USMW IRWM Project Evaluation Worksheet

Project # and Title: 2. Recycled Water and Plant Material Conversion Project for HOA Common Areas
Project Sponsor: Rancho California Water District
Evaluation Date: June 9, 2013

Recommendation: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Include in IRWM Plan <input type="checkbox"/> Include in list of conceptual projects <input type="checkbox"/> Do not include project in either the IRWM Plan or conceptual project list

#	Criteria	Project Nomination Form Location	Acceptance as a Conceptual Project	Acceptance as an IRWM Plan Project	Prioritization Scoring	Comments
1.	General project information provided is sufficient to understand the project.	Section 1 (all questions required, if applicable)	Is the general project information provided sufficient to understand the project? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No		Not applicable	
2.	Potential project sponsor information has been provided.	Section 2 (all questions required)	Has project partner information been provided? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No		Not applicable	
3.	Project partners' and required information has been provided.	Section 3 (all questions required)	Has project sponsor information been provided (if applicable)? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes (or not applicable) <input type="checkbox"/> No		Not applicable	
4.	The project is relevant to the Region.	Section 4	Not required	Do the project's goals and objectives align with the Region's goals and objectives? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Under Development	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Reduce regional potable water consumption - Increase local supply development - Reduce controllable pollutant sources to 303(d) listed receiving waters - Adapt to and mitigate against climate change
5.	The project is integrated and/or regional	Section 6	Not required	Have the integration elements of the project been described? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Under Development	The project will meet multiple regional goals: increase diversification of the water supply portfolio, protect and improve local surface water quality, and promote economic, social, land use and environmental sustainability. The project will also involve partnerships with other local agencies, and provide benefits in multiple areas of the watershed.
6.	The project is cost efficient	Section 8, Question (a) Section 5	Not required	Has an economic analysis been performed showing the project is cost efficient, or do the project's costs appear reasonable compared to the project's benefits? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Under Development	An economic analysis was performed as part of the Prop 84, Round 2 implementation grant application, and showed that the dollar value of benefits exceeds the project's costs.
7.	Project contribution to sustainability	Section 4 (Goal 6) Section 7	Not required	Will the project promote economic, social, land use and/or environmental sustainability? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Under Development	The project will help the Region to adapt to and mitigate against climate change.
8.	Project costs and financing identified	Section 8	Not required	Have the sources of potential project funding or financing been identified? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Not applicable	

USMW IRWM Project Evaluation Worksheet

Project # and Title: 3. Native Botanical Garden
Project Sponsor: Hamilton Museum, Mountain Communities Resilience, The High Country Conservancy
Evaluation Date: June 9, 2013

Recommendation: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Include in IRWM Plan <input type="checkbox"/> Include in list of conceptual projects <input type="checkbox"/> Do not include project in either the IRWM Plan or conceptual project list

#	Criteria	Project Nomination Form Location	Acceptance as a Conceptual Project	Acceptance as an IRWM Plan Project	Prioritization Scoring	Comments
1.	General project information provided is sufficient to understand the project.	Section 1 (all questions required, if applicable)	Is the general project information provided sufficient to understand the project? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No		Not applicable	
2.	Potential project sponsor information has been provided.	Section 2 (all questions required)	Has project partner information been provided? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No		Not applicable	
3.	Project partners' and required information has been provided.	Section 3 (all questions required)	Has project sponsor information been provided (if applicable)? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes (or not applicable) <input type="checkbox"/> No		Not applicable	
4.	The project is relevant to the Region.	Section 4	Not required	Do the project's goals and objectives align with the Region's goals and objectives? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Under Development	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Reduce regional potable water consumption - Reduce controllable pollutant sources to 303(d) listed receiving waters - Protect and create riparian habitat - Support water resources projects that positively impact DACs - Adapt to and mitigate against climate change
5.	The project is integrated and/or regional	Section 6	Not required	Have the integration elements of the project been described? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Under Development	This project will implement numerous Regional goals: Increase diversification of water supply portfolio; protect and improve local surface water quality; protect, restore and enhance riparian habitat; promote economic, social, land use and environmental sustainability
6.	The project is cost efficient	Section 8, Question (a) Section 5	Not required	Has an economic analysis been performed showing the project is cost efficient, or do the project's costs appear reasonable compared to the project's benefits? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Under Development	An economic analysis has been performed as part of the Prop 84, Round 2 grant application, and the project costs appear reasonable in relation to project benefits.
7.	Project contribution to sustainability	Section 4 (Goal 6) Section 7	Not required	Will the project promote economic, social, land use and/or environmental sustainability? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Under Development	The project will help adapting to climate change, benefit DACs, and promote environmental sustainability.
8.	Project costs and financing identified	Section 8	Not required	Have the sources of potential project funding or financing been identified? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Not applicable	

USMW IRWM Project Evaluation Worksheet

Project # and Title: 4. Implementing Nutrient Management in the Santa Margarita River Watershed Phase II
Project Sponsor: Riverside County Flood Control & Watershed Conservation District
Evaluation Date: May 29, 2013

Recommendation:

Include in IRWM Plan

Include in list of conceptual projects

Do not include project in either the IRWM Plan or conceptual project list

#	Criteria	Project Nomination Form Location	Acceptance as a Conceptual Project	Acceptance as an IRWM Plan Project	Prioritization Scoring	Comments
1.	General project information provided is sufficient to understand the project.	Section 1 (all questions required, if applicable)	Is the general project information provided sufficient to understand the project? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No		Not applicable	
2.	Potential project sponsor information has been provided.	Section 2 (all questions required)	Has project partner information been provided? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No		Not applicable	
3.	Project partners' and required information has been provided.	Section 3 (all questions required)	Has project sponsor information been provided (if applicable)? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes (or not applicable) <input type="checkbox"/> No		Not applicable	
4.	The project is relevant to the Region.	Section 4	Not required	Do the project's goals and objectives align with the Region's goals and objectives? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Under Development	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Increase local supply development - Improve quality and ability to access and increase groundwater supply - Increase knowledge of groundwater potential - Reduce controllable pollutant sources to 303(d) listed waters - Support water resources projects that positively impact DACs - Adapt to and mitigate against climate change
5.	The project is integrated and/or regional	Section 6	Not required	Have the integration elements of the project been described? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Under Development	Yes, the project is expected to provide benefits to multiple stakeholders by improving surface and groundwater quality in the Region. It will also complement a number of other projects planned in the Region.
6.	The project is cost efficient	Section 8, Question (a) Section 5	Not required	Has an economic analysis been performed showing the project is cost efficient, or do the project's costs appear reasonable compared to the project's benefits? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Under Development	The project's costs appear reasonable compared to the described project benefits.
7.	Project contribution to sustainability	Section 4 (Goal 6) Section 7	Not required	Will the project promote economic, social, land use and/or environmental sustainability? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Under Development	The project will improve water supply and quality to DACs in the Region, as well as improve local supplies which will in turn allow for a reduction in the energy used to import water.
8.	Project costs and financing identified	Section 8	Not required	Have the sources of potential project funding or financing been identified? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Not applicable	The project will be funded through Prop 84, in-kind services, and RCFCD funds

USMW IRWM Project Evaluation Worksheet

Project # and Title: 23. Community Garden Project
Project Sponsor: Elena Mafla & Robyn Garrison
Evaluation Date: June 5, 2013

Recommendation: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Include in IRWM Plan <input type="checkbox"/> Include in list of conceptual projects <input type="checkbox"/> Do not include project in either the IRWM Plan or conceptual project list

#	Criteria	Project Nomination Form Location	Acceptance as a Conceptual Project	Acceptance as an IRWM Plan Project	Prioritization Scoring	Comments
1.	General project information provided is sufficient to understand the project.	Section 1 (all questions required, if applicable)	Is the general project information provided sufficient to understand the project? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No		Not applicable	
2.	Potential project sponsor information has been provided.	Section 2 (all questions required)	Has project partner information been provided? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No		Not applicable	
3.	Project partners' and required information has been provided.	Section 3 (all questions required)	Has project sponsor information been provided (if applicable)? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes (or not applicable) <input type="checkbox"/> No		Not applicable	
4.	The project is relevant to the Region.	Section 4	Not required	Do the project's goals and objectives align with the Region's goals and objectives? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Under Development	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Support water resources projects that positively impact DACs - Increase local supply development
5.	The project is integrated and/or regional	Section 6	Not required	Have the integration elements of the project been described? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Under Development	The project will provide multiple benefits to stakeholders.
6.	The project is cost efficient	Section 8, Question (a) Section 5	Not required	Has an economic analysis been performed showing the project is cost efficient, or do the project's costs appear reasonable compared to the project's benefits? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Under Development	Though quantified benefits are difficult to define for this type of project, the project's costs appear reasonable in relation to the described benefits.
7.	Project contribution to sustainability	Section 4 (Goal 6) Section 7	Not required	Will the project promote economic, social, land use and/or environmental sustainability? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Under Development	The project will positively impact the DAC in the Anza area.
8.	Project costs and financing identified	Section 8	Not required	Have the sources of potential project funding or financing been identified? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Not applicable	

USMW IRWM Project Evaluation Worksheet

Project # and Title: 24. Anza Community Beautification and Gardens Projects: Park Improvement and Water Conservation – Minor Park, Anza
Project Sponsor: Anza Civic Improvement League (ACIL)
Evaluation Date: June 5, 2013

<p>Recommendation:</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Include in IRWM Plan</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Include in list of conceptual projects</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Do not include project in either the IRWM Plan or conceptual project list</p>
--

#	Criteria	Project Nomination Form Location	Acceptance as a Conceptual Project	Acceptance as an IRWM Plan Project	Prioritization Scoring	Comments
1.	General project information provided is sufficient to understand the project.	Section 1 (all questions required, if applicable)	Is the general project information provided sufficient to understand the project? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No		Not applicable	
2.	Potential project sponsor information has been provided.	Section 2 (all questions required)	Has project partner information been provided? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No		Not applicable	
3.	Project partners' and required information has been provided.	Section 3 (all questions required)	Has project sponsor information been provided (if applicable)? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes (or not applicable) <input type="checkbox"/> No		Not applicable	Not applicable, but a project partner may be necessary for the installation and use of a well.
4.	The project is relevant to the Region.	Section 4	Not required	Do the project's goals and objectives align with the Region's goals and objectives? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Under Development	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Reduce regional potable water consumption - Increase local supply development - Support water resources projects that positively impact DACs - Improve recreation opportunities and open space through multiple benefit projects - Adapt to and mitigate against climate change by promoting adaptation strategies and reducing water related GHG emissions
5.	The project is integrated and/or regional	Section 6	Not required	Have the integration elements of the project been described? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Under Development	Implementation of the project will help the Region to meet multiple IRWMP objectives, and allow for multiple uses of the site.
6.	The project is cost efficient	Section 8, Question (a) Section 5	Not required	Has an economic analysis been performed showing the project is cost efficient, or do the project's costs appear reasonable compared to the project's benefits? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Under Development	The benefits described for the project appear reasonable in relation to the costs of the project.
7.	Project contribution to sustainability	Section 4 (Goal 6) Section 7	Not required	Will the project promote economic, social, land use and/or environmental sustainability? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Under Development	The project will benefit Anza, which is a DAC, will help to adapt to climate change by reducing the water necessary for irrigation, and will reduce GHGs through the installation of solar lights and use of manual tools versus gas-powered.
8.	Project costs and financing identified	Section 8	Not required	Have the sources of potential project funding or financing been identified? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Not applicable	

USMW IRWM Project Evaluation Worksheet

Project # and Title: 26. Temecula Valley RWRP Flood Improvements
Project Sponsor: Eastern Municipal Water District
Evaluation Date: June 9, 2013

Recommendation: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Include in IRWM Plan <input type="checkbox"/> Include in list of conceptual projects <input type="checkbox"/> Do not include project in either the IRWM Plan or conceptual project list

#	Criteria	Project Nomination Form Location	Acceptance as a Conceptual Project	Acceptance as an IRWM Plan Project	Prioritization Scoring	Comments
1.	General project information provided is sufficient to understand the project.	Section 1 (all questions required, if applicable)	Is the general project information provided sufficient to understand the project? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No		Not applicable	
2.	Potential project sponsor information has been provided.	Section 2 (all questions required)	Has project partner information been provided? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No		Not applicable	
3.	Project partners' and required information has been provided.	Section 3 (all questions required)	Has project sponsor information been provided (if applicable)? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes (or not applicable) <input type="checkbox"/> No		Not applicable	
4.	The project is relevant to the Region.	Section 4	Not required	Do the project's goals and objectives align with the Region's goals and objectives? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Under Development	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Reduce controllable pollutant sources to 303(d) listed receiving waters - Reduce municipal and private property damage risk - Increase local supply development
5.	The project is integrated and/or regional	Section 6	Not required	Have the integration elements of the project been described? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Under Development	The project will help the Region to achieve multiple goals: promote integrated flood management, protect and improve local surface water quality, and increase diversification of water supply portfolio.
6.	The project is cost efficient	Section 8, Question (a) Section 5	Not required	Has an economic analysis been performed showing the project is cost efficient, or do the project's costs appear reasonable compared to the project's benefits? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Under Development	The project's costs appear reasonable in relation to the described benefits.
7.	Project contribution to sustainability	Section 4 (Goal 6) Section 7	Not required	Will the project promote economic, social, land use and/or environmental sustainability? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Under Development	By increasing the supply of recycled water, DACs will see a benefit through a reduction in water costs.
8.	Project costs and financing identified	Section 8	Not required	Have the sources of potential project funding or financing been identified? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Not applicable	Project funding will be provided through EMWD funds and grants.

USMW IRWM Project Evaluation Worksheet

Project # and Title: 27. Temecula Old Town Sewer Relief Project
Project Sponsor: Eastern Municipal Water District
Evaluation Date: June 9, 2013

Recommendation: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Include in IRWM Plan <input type="checkbox"/> Include in list of conceptual projects <input type="checkbox"/> Do not include project in either the IRWM Plan or conceptual project list

#	Criteria	Project Nomination Form Location	Acceptance as a Conceptual Project	Acceptance as an IRWM Plan Project	Prioritization Scoring	Comments
1.	General project information provided is sufficient to understand the project.	Section 1 (all questions required, if applicable)	Is the general project information provided sufficient to understand the project? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No		Not applicable	
2.	Potential project sponsor information has been provided.	Section 2 (all questions required)	Has project partner information been provided? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No		Not applicable	
3.	Project partners' and required information has been provided.	Section 3 (all questions required)	Has project sponsor information been provided (if applicable)? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes (or not applicable) <input type="checkbox"/> No		Not applicable	
4.	The project is relevant to the Region.	Section 4	Not required	Do the project's goals and objectives align with the Region's goals and objectives? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Under Development	Objectives met: - Increase local supply development - Reduce controllable pollutant sources to 303(d) listed receiving waters
5.	The project is integrated and/or regional	Section 6	Not required	Have the integration elements of the project been described? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Under Development	The project will help the Region to achieve multiple goals including increasing diversification of the water supply portfolio, and protecting and improving local surface water quality.
6.	The project is cost efficient	Section 8, Question (a) Section 5	Not required	Has an economic analysis been performed showing the project is cost efficient, or do the project's costs appear reasonable compared to the project's benefits? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Under Development	The project's costs appear reasonable in relation to its described benefits.
7.	Project contribution to sustainability	Section 4 (Goal 6) Section 7	Not required	Will the project promote economic, social, land use and/or environmental sustainability? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Under Development	The project will allow for increased availability of recycled water by increasing the size of the sewer capacity, which will in turn allow for reduced water costs as the Region will be able to offset some imported supply.
8.	Project costs and financing identified	Section 8	Not required	Have the sources of potential project funding or financing been identified? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Not applicable	Funding for the project will be provided through EMWD funds and grant funding.

USMW IRWM Project Evaluation Worksheet

Project # and Title: 2. Anza Aguanga Groundwater Phase 2 (Planning Project)
Project Sponsor: Anza Grant Writing Group
Evaluation Date: June 9, 2013

Recommendation:

Include in IRWM Plan

Include in list of conceptual projects

Do not include project in either the IRWM Plan or conceptual project list

#	Criteria	Project Nomination Form Location	Acceptance as a Conceptual Project	Acceptance as an IRWM Plan Project	Prioritization Scoring	Comments
1.	General project information provided is sufficient to understand the project.	Section 1 (all questions required, if applicable)	Is the general project information provided sufficient to understand the project? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No		Not applicable	
2.	Potential project sponsor information has been provided.	Section 2 (all questions required)	Has project partner information been provided? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No		Not applicable	
3.	Project partners' and required information has been provided.	Section 3 (all questions required)	Has project sponsor information been provided (if applicable)? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes (or not applicable) <input type="checkbox"/> No		Not applicable	
4.	The project is relevant to the Region.	Section 4	Not required	Do the project's goals and objectives align with the Region's goals and objectives? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Under Development	Completion of this planning project will allow the Region to meet multiple objectives, particularly: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Increase local supply development - Improve quality and ability to access and increase groundwater supply - Increase knowledge of groundwater supply potential - Reduce controllable pollutant sources to 303(d) listed waters
5.	The project is integrated and/or regional	Section 6	Not required	Have the integration elements of the project been described? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Under Development	The project will help the Region to meet multiple goals: increase diversification of water supply portfolio, maximize groundwater potential, protect and improve local surface water quality. The project will also promote the use of multiple resource management strategies.
6.	The project is cost efficient	Section 8, Question (a) Section 5	Not required	Has an economic analysis been performed showing the project is cost efficient, or do the project's costs appear reasonable compared to the project's benefits? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Under Development	The project costs appear reasonable in relation to the described project benefits.
7.	Project contribution to sustainability	Section 4 (Goal 6) Section 7	Not required	Will the project promote economic, social, land use and/or environmental sustainability? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Under Development	The project will provide a tool that will benefit the Anza DAC by allowing for better supply reliability and improved drinking water quality.
8.	Project costs and financing identified	Section 8	Not required	Have the sources of potential project funding or financing been identified? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Not applicable	

USMW IRWM Project Evaluation Worksheet

Project # and Title: 4 (Planning). Upper Santa Gertrudis Creek Stormwater Management Plan
Project Sponsor: Rancho Glenoaks HOA
Evaluation Date: June 9, 2013

Recommendation:

Include in IRWM Plan

Include in list of conceptual projects

Do not include project in either the IRWM Plan or conceptual project list

#	Criteria	Project Nomination Form Location	Acceptance as a Conceptual Project	Acceptance as an IRWM Plan Project	Prioritization Scoring	Comments
1.	General project information provided is sufficient to understand the project.	Section 1 (all questions required, if applicable)	Is the general project information provided sufficient to understand the project? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No		Not applicable	
2.	Potential project sponsor information has been provided.	Section 2 (all questions required)	Has project partner information been provided? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No		Not applicable	Insufficient project sponsor information has been provided (need telephone, email, and mailing address).
3.	Project partners' and required information has been provided.	Section 3 (all questions required)	Has project sponsor information been provided (if applicable)? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes (or not applicable) <input type="checkbox"/> No		Not applicable	
4.	The project is relevant to the Region.	Section 4	Not required	Do the project's goals and objectives align with the Region's goals and objectives? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Under Development	Implementation of this planning project will later allow the Region to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Reduce controllable pollutant sources to 303(d) listed receiving waters - Reduce municipal and private property damage risk (due to flooding) - Protect and create riparian habitat - Enhance riparian corridors on existing land use - Improve recreation opportunities and open space through multiple benefit projects
5.	The project is integrated and/or regional	Section 6	Not required	Have the integration elements of the project been described? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Under Development	Completion of this plan will allow the Region to better meet its goals to: protect and improve local surface water quality; promote integrated flood management; protect, restore and enhance riparian habitat; promote economic, social, land use and environmental sustainability.
6.	The project is cost efficient	Section 8, Question (a) Section 5	Not required	Has an economic analysis been performed showing the project is cost efficient, or do the project's costs appear reasonable compared to the project's benefits? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Under Development	In sufficient cost information has been provided to assess the cost effectiveness of the project.
7.	Project contribution to sustainability	Section 4 (Goal 6) Section 7	Not required	Will the project promote economic, social, land use and/or environmental sustainability? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Under Development	Through a better understanding of the watershed, the region can better implement multiple benefit projects that will improve recreation opportunities and open space.
8.	Project costs and financing identified	Section 8	Not required	Have the sources of potential project funding or financing been identified? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Not applicable	

USMW IRWM Project Evaluation Worksheet

Project # and Title: Indirect Potable Reuse Construction
Project Sponsor: Rancho California Water District
Evaluation Date: June 9, 2013

Recommendation:

Include in IRWM Plan
 Include in list of conceptual projects
 Do not include project in either the IRWM Plan or conceptual project list

#	Criteria	Project Nomination Form Location	Acceptance as a Conceptual Project	Acceptance as an IRWM Plan Project	Prioritization Scoring	Comments
1.	General project information provided is sufficient to understand the project.	Section 1 (all questions required, if applicable)	Is the general project information provided sufficient to understand the project? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No		Not applicable	No project description provided.
2.	Potential project sponsor information has been provided.	Section 2 (all questions required)	Has project partner information been provided? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No		Not applicable	
3.	Project partners' and required information has been provided.	Section 3 (all questions required)	Has project sponsor information been provided (if applicable)? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes (or not applicable) <input type="checkbox"/> No		Not applicable	
4.	The project is relevant to the Region.	Section 4	Not required	Do the project's goals and objectives align with the Region's goals and objectives? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Under Development	
5.	The project is integrated and/or regional	Section 6	Not required	Have the integration elements of the project been described? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Under Development	
6.	The project is cost efficient	Section 8, Question (a) Section 5	Not required	Has an economic analysis been performed showing the project is cost efficient, or do the project's costs appear reasonable compared to the project's benefits? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Under Development	
7.	Project contribution to sustainability	Section 4 (Goal 6) Section 7	Not required	Will the project promote economic, social, land use and/or environmental sustainability? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Under Development	
8.	Project costs and financing identified	Section 8	Not required	Have the sources of potential project funding or financing been identified? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Not applicable	

USMW IRWM Project Evaluation Worksheet

Project # and Title: Interregional Brine Line
Project Sponsor: Rancho California Water District
Evaluation Date: June 9, 2013

Recommendation:

Include in IRWM Plan
 Include in list of conceptual projects
 Do not include project in either the IRWM Plan or conceptual project list

#	Criteria	Project Nomination Form Location	Acceptance as a Conceptual Project	Acceptance as an IRWM Plan Project	Prioritization Scoring	Comments
1.	General project information provided is sufficient to understand the project.	Section 1 (all questions required, if applicable)	Is the general project information provided sufficient to understand the project? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No		Not applicable	No project description has been provided.
2.	Potential project sponsor information has been provided.	Section 2 (all questions required)	Has project partner information been provided? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No		Not applicable	
3.	Project partners' and required information has been provided.	Section 3 (all questions required)	Has project sponsor information been provided (if applicable)? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes (or not applicable) <input type="checkbox"/> No		Not applicable	
4.	The project is relevant to the Region.	Section 4	Not required	Do the project's goals and objectives align with the Region's goals and objectives? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Under Development	
5.	The project is integrated and/or regional	Section 6	Not required	Have the integration elements of the project been described? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Under Development	
6.	The project is cost efficient	Section 8, Question (a) Section 5	Not required	Has an economic analysis been performed showing the project is cost efficient, or do the project's costs appear reasonable compared to the project's benefits? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Under Development	
7.	Project contribution to sustainability	Section 4 (Goal 6) Section 7	Not required	Will the project promote economic, social, land use and/or environmental sustainability? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Under Development	
8.	Project costs and financing identified	Section 8	Not required	Have the sources of potential project funding or financing been identified? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Not applicable	

USMW IRWM Project Evaluation Worksheet

Project # and Title: Reclaimed Water Irrigation Retrofit – Temeku Hills
Project Sponsor: City of Temecula
Evaluation Date: June 9, 2013

Recommendation:

Include in IRWM Plan

Include in list of conceptual projects

Do not include project in either the IRWM Plan or conceptual project list

#	Criteria	Project Nomination Form Location	Acceptance as a Conceptual Project	Acceptance as an IRWM Plan Project	Prioritization Scoring	Comments
1.	General project information provided is sufficient to understand the project.	Section 1 (all questions required, if applicable)	Is the general project information provided sufficient to understand the project? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No		Not applicable	
2.	Potential project sponsor information has been provided.	Section 2 (all questions required)	Has project partner information been provided? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No		Not applicable	
3.	Project partners' and required information has been provided.	Section 3 (all questions required)	Has project sponsor information been provided (if applicable)? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes (or not applicable) <input type="checkbox"/> No		Not applicable	
4.	The project is relevant to the Region.	Section 4	Not required	Do the project's goals and objectives align with the Region's goals and objectives? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Under Development	
5.	The project is integrated and/or regional	Section 6	Not required	Have the integration elements of the project been described? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Under Development	
6.	The project is cost efficient	Section 8, Question (a) Section 5	Not required	Has an economic analysis been performed showing the project is cost efficient, or do the project's costs appear reasonable compared to the project's benefits? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Under Development	
7.	Project contribution to sustainability	Section 4 (Goal 6) Section 7	Not required	Will the project promote economic, social, land use and/or environmental sustainability? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Under Development	
8.	Project costs and financing identified	Section 8	Not required	Have the sources of potential project funding or financing been identified? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Not applicable	

USMW IRWM Project Evaluation Worksheet

Project # and Title: Wellhead Treatment Project
Project Sponsor: Rancho California Water District
Evaluation Date: June 9, 2013

Recommendation: <input type="checkbox"/> Include in IRWM Plan <input type="checkbox"/> Include in list of conceptual projects <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Do not include project in either the IRWM Plan or conceptual project list

#	Criteria	Project Nomination Form Location	Acceptance as a Conceptual Project	Acceptance as an IRWM Plan Project	Prioritization Scoring	Comments
1.	General project information provided is sufficient to understand the project.	Section 1 (all questions required, if applicable)	Is the general project information provided sufficient to understand the project? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No		Not applicable	No project description has been provided.
2.	Potential project sponsor information has been provided.	Section 2 (all questions required)	Has project partner information been provided? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No		Not applicable	
3.	Project partners' and required information has been provided.	Section 3 (all questions required)	Has project sponsor information been provided (if applicable)? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes (or not applicable) <input type="checkbox"/> No		Not applicable	
4.	The project is relevant to the Region.	Section 4	Not required	Do the project's goals and objectives align with the Region's goals and objectives? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Under Development	
5.	The project is integrated and/or regional	Section 6	Not required	Have the integration elements of the project been described? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Under Development	
6.	The project is cost efficient	Section 8, Question (a) Section 5	Not required	Has an economic analysis been performed showing the project is cost efficient, or do the project's costs appear reasonable compared to the project's benefits? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Under Development	
7.	Project contribution to sustainability	Section 4 (Goal 6) Section 7	Not required	Will the project promote economic, social, land use and/or environmental sustainability? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Under Development	
8.	Project costs and financing identified	Section 8	Not required	Have the sources of potential project funding or financing been identified? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Not applicable	

USMW IRWM Project Evaluation Worksheet

Project # and Title: Santa Margarita Region Retrofit Opportunities Study and Program Framework
Project Sponsor: Riverside County Flood Control and Water Conservation District
Evaluation Date: June 9, 2013

Recommendation:

Include in IRWM Plan

Include in list of conceptual projects

Do not include project in either the IRWM Plan or conceptual project list

#	Criteria	Project Nomination Form Location	Acceptance as a Conceptual Project	Acceptance as an IRWM Plan Project	Prioritization Scoring	Comments
1.	General project information provided is sufficient to understand the project.	Section 1 (all questions required, if applicable)	Is the general project information provided sufficient to understand the project? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No		Not applicable	
2.	Potential project sponsor information has been provided.	Section 2 (all questions required)	Has project partner information been provided? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No		Not applicable	
3.	Project partners' and required information has been provided.	Section 3 (all questions required)	Has project sponsor information been provided (if applicable)? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes (or not applicable) <input type="checkbox"/> No		Not applicable	
4.	The project is relevant to the Region.	Section 4	Not required	Do the project's goals and objectives align with the Region's goals and objectives? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Under Development	This study will help the Region to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Increase local supply development - Reduce controllable pollutant sources to 303(d) listed receiving waters - Enhance regional flood control by implementing multiple benefit projects - Reduce municipal and private property damage risk - Support water resources projects that positively impact DACs - Adapt to and mitigate against climate change by promoting adaptation strategies and reducing water related GHGs
5.	The project is integrated and/or regional	Section 6	Not required	Have the integration elements of the project been described? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Under Development	The project will implement multiple benefits (see above), and benefit multiple stakeholder groups within the Region. The project will also encourage the implementation of multiple resource management strategies.
6.	The project is cost efficient	Section 8, Question (a) Section 5	Not required	Has an economic analysis been performed showing the project is cost efficient, or do the project's costs appear reasonable compared to the project's benefits? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Under Development	No economic analysis has been performed, but the project costs appear reasonable in relation to the described project benefits.
7.	Project contribution to sustainability	Section 4 (Goal 6) Section 7	Not required	Will the project promote economic, social, land use and/or environmental sustainability? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Under Development	The project will promote land use sustainability, economic sustainability (through benefits to DACs), and environmental sustainability through adaptation to climate change.

USMW IRWM

Project Review Evaluation Worksheet

#	Criteria	Project Nomination Form Location	Acceptance as a Conceptual Project	Acceptance as an IRWM Plan Project	Prioritization Scoring	Comments
8.	Project costs and financing identified	Section 8	<i>Not required</i>	Have the sources of potential project funding or financing been identified? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<i>Not applicable</i>	The project will be funded through RCFCF funds, and grant funds.